

IVOA Interop Meeting, ESO 9 November 2009

\$Id: bridge.tex,v 1.6 2009/11/10 08:30:00 mbt Exp \$



1. Two independent hubs are running



- 1. Two independent hubs are running
- 2. Bridge starts up, connects to both hubs



- 1. Two independent hubs are running
- 2. Bridge starts up, connects to both hubs
- 3. Proxies for Hub 2 clients, controlled by Bridge, connect to Hub 1



- 1. Two independent hubs are running
- 2. Bridge starts up, connects to both hubs
- 3. Proxies for Hub 2 clients, controlled by Bridge, connect to Hub 1
- 4. Proxies for Hub 1 clients, controlled by Bridge, connect to Hub 2



- 1. Two independent hubs are running
- 2. Bridge starts up, connects to both hubs
- 3. Proxies for Hub 2 clients, controlled by Bridge, connect to Hub 1
- 4. Proxies for Hub 1 clients, controlled by Bridge, connect to Hub 2
- 5. Local client sends message to proxy client on Hub 1



- 1. Two independent hubs are running
- 2. Bridge starts up, connects to both hubs
- 3. Proxies for Hub 2 clients, controlled by Bridge, connect to Hub 1
- 4. Proxies for Hub 1 clients, controlled by Bridge, connect to Hub 2
- 5. Local client sends message to proxy client on Hub 1
- 6. Bridge tunnels this action to an equivalent operation on Hub 2 (possibly translating URLs)



- 1. Two independent hubs are running
- 2. Bridge starts up, connects to both hubs
- 3. Proxies for Hub 2 clients, controlled by Bridge, connect to Hub 1
- 4. Proxies for Hub 1 clients, controlled by Bridge, connect to Hub 2
- 5. Local client sends message to proxy client on Hub 1
- 6. Bridge tunnels this action to an equivalent operation on Hub 2 (possibly translating URLs)
- 7. Proxy client sends message to local client on Hub 2

Features

Architectural features:

- Uses only standard SAMP client interface
 - ▷ no priveliged/non-standard hub access required
 - ▷ works with any hub implementation
 - ▷ could use different profiles for different bridged hubs
- Any number of hubs can be connected
 - Each local client has a proxy on every other hub
 - Currently can't add hubs dynamically to running bridge

Additional functionality:

- URL translation
- Metadata mangling

URL Translation

There is a problem with host-specific URLs

```
    Many MTypes use URLs to point to data
samp.mtype: "table.load.votable"
samp.params: {
    url: "file:///data/foo/bar.vot"
    name: "Galaxies" }
```

- URL may make sense to sender, but not to recipient on a different host
- Two URL types cause problems:
 - http: URLs with "localhost", "127.0.0.1", or insufficiently-qualified hostnames
 file: URLs
- Bridge tries to translate to remotely-understandable forms:
 - ▶ Identifies message params that look like URLs (potentially problematic; usually OK)
 - ▶ http: URLs:
 - \bigcirc maps "localhost" or "127.0.0.1" \rightarrow fully-qualified domain name
 - ▷ file: URLs on bridge-local host:
 - © maps to new dynamic http: URL in bridge's internal HTTP server
 - ▷ file: URLs on remote host:
 - ⊖ no action possible (remote translator service?? security issues)

Metadata Mangling

Convenient to tweak proxy client metadata for recognition

- samp.name: " (proxy)" appended
- samp.icon.url: icon adjusted to half-visible
- bridge.proxy.source: new key indicating native hub

SAMP Hub	
Clients Messages	
Clients	dentity
🕘 Hub 🔾	Public ID: c5
🦺 bridge 🔿	
🦉 topcat 🔿 👘	[Metadata
SAOImage DS9 🔿 👘	samp.name:
n 🖉 topcat (proxy) 🔿 👘	HubMonitor (proxy)
🖉 HubMonitor (proxy) 🔿	samp.description.text:
	GUI hub monitor utility
	samp.icon.url:
	http://andromeda.star.b
	author:
	Mark Taylor
	bridge.proxy.source:
	/mbt/scratch/junk

Set Up

bridge is part of JSAMP

Need to tell Bridge which hubs to connect to

- Use command-line flags; two or more of:
 - -standard: Standard Profile with standard lockfile ~/.samp (default)
 - > -sampdir <dir>: .samp lockfile in non-standard location
 - > -sampfile <filename>: lockfile with non-standard name/location
 - -keys <xmlrpc-url> <secret>: give hub endpoint and password explicitly
 - -profile <classname>: custom SAMP profile implementation
- Example:

```
java -jar jsamp.jar bridge -standard \
```

```
-keys http://foo.bar.org:54321/xmlrpc 3269ea83
```

 Programmatically, supply array of ClientProfile objects: public Bridge(ClientProfile[] profiles) { ... }

public interface ClientProfile {
 HubConnection register() throws SampException;
}

No other configuration necessary

Can optionally configure non-default URL translation



Why bridge?

- Collaboration
- Join domains using different profiles
- . . . more?

Benefits over single-hub collaboration

- URL translation (better, though not perfect, inter-host data transmission)
- Metadata mangling (easier to distinguish local from remote clients)
- Does not disrupt single-hub operation for either user
- Spreads load (communications local to one hub don't involve other hub(s))
- May use different profiles on each hub

Issues

Possible problems for use:

- Firewalls
- Remote host-specific (file:///...) URLs
- Performance
 - ▶ Lots of hubs?
 - ▷ Slow/WAN connection?

Future Enhancements

Possible improvements, if there is demand:

- Easier set up (GUI)
- Dynamically add/remove hubs
- Better visual indication of which clients are proxies, and where they are proxied from